

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](#)

Journal of Research in Personality

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jrp

Corrigendum

Corrigendum to “Theoretical and Empirical dissociations between the Dark Factor of Personality and low Honesty-Humility” [J. Res. Pers. 95 (2021) 104154]

Luisa K. Horsten^{a,*}, Morten Moshagen^b, Ingo Zettler^c, Benjamin E. Hilbig^a^a University of Koblenz-Landau, Fortstr. 7, 76829 Landau, Germany^b Ulm University, Albert-Einstein-Allee 47, 89081 Ulm, Germany^c University of Copenhagen, Øster Farimagsgade 2A, 1353 Copenhagen, Denmark

The authors regret that, due to an error in the code (now corrected on the OSF), the ΔR^2 s reported in [Tables 3, 5, 7, and 9](#), as well as the relative unique contributions reported in [Table 10](#) of the original publication, are incorrect. Corrected tables are reported below. None of the

conclusions drawn in the original publication are affected by these changes, thus the interpretation of the results can be maintained unaltered for each study. The authors would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2021.104154>.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Psychology, University of Koblenz-Landau, Fortstr. 7, 76829 Landau, Germany.
E-mail address: horsten@uni-landau.de (L.K. Horsten).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2022.104244>

0092-6566/© 2022 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Table 3
Latent regression results for longitudinally predicting unresidualized dark traits by D and Honesty-Humility (Study 1).

Dark trait 2018	β_{D2014} (-DT2014) [95% CI]	β_{HH2014} [95% CI]	$R^2_{(D, HH)}$	$\Delta R^2_{(HH)}$	$\Delta R^2_{(D)}$
Egoism	0.71 [0.53; 0.90]	-0.17 [-0.38; 0.05]	.35	.04	.20
Machiavellianism	0.55 [0.39; 0.71]	0.15 [-0.03; 0.33]	.46	<.01	.14
Moral Disengagement	0.67 [0.49; 0.85]	-0.02 [-0.22; 0.19]	.43	<.01	.14
Agentic Narcissism	-0.19 [-0.41; 0.03]	0.71 [0.47; 0.96]	.33	.19	.08
Psychological Entitlement	0.10 [-0.09; 0.29]	0.53 [0.33; 0.73]	.37	.10	.10
Psychopathy	0.70 [0.53; 0.87]	0.06 [-0.15; 0.27]	.56	<.01	.15
Sadism	0.52 [0.31; 0.72]	0.01 [-0.21; 0.23]	.28	<.01	.08
Self-Interest	-0.32 [-0.56; -0.09]	0.81 [0.56; 1.06]	.35	.26	.17
Spitefulness	0.80 [0.64; 0.97]	-0.19 [-0.38; 0.00]	.43	<.01	.20

Note: N = 1,261. Standardized latent linear regression coefficients. D = Dark Factor of Personality, HH = low Honesty-Humility. $R^2_{(D, HH)}$: variance explained by the full model. $\Delta R^2_{(HH)}$: increase in R^2 after adding Honesty-Humility to the model. $\Delta R^2_{(D)}$: increase in R^2 after adding D to the model.

Table 5
Latent regression results predicting the outcomes by low Honesty-Humility and D (Study 2).

Outcome variable	β_D [95% CI]	β_{HH} [95% CI]	$R^2_{(D, HH)}$	$\Delta R^2_{(HH)}$	$\Delta R^2_{(D)}$
Sadistic SVO	0.27 [-0.14; 0.67]	-0.08 [-0.47; 0.32]	.04	.02	<.01
Pretentiousness	-0.47 [-0.79; -0.15]	1.20 [0.90; 1.49]	.67	.33	.12

Note: N = 313. Standardized latent regression coefficients. D = Dark Factor of Personality, HH = low Honesty-Humility. $R^2_{(D, HH)}$: variance explained in the full model. $\Delta R^2_{(HH)}$: increase in R^2 after adding low Honesty-Humility to the model. $\Delta R^2_{(D)}$: increase in R^2 after adding D to the model.

Table 7
Latent regression results predicting the outcomes by D and low Honesty-Humility (Study 3).

Outcome variable	β_D [95% CI]	β_{HH} [95% CI]	$R^2_{(D, HH)}$	$\Delta R^2_{(HH)}$	$\Delta R^2_{(D)}$
Distrust	0.75 [0.59; 0.92]	-0.35 [-0.53; -0.17]	.26	.04	.19
Trust Scale	-0.45 [-0.69; -0.24]	0.15 [-0.10, 0.40]	.11	<.01	.06
Threatening world	0.29 [0.09; 0.49]	-0.13 [-0.33; 0.06]	.04	.01	.03

Note: N = 508. Standardized latent linear regression coefficients. D = Dark Factor of Personality, HH = low Honesty-Humility. $R^2_{(D, HH)}$: variance explained in the full model. $\Delta R^2_{(HH)}$: increase in R^2 after adding low Honesty-Humility to the model. $\Delta R^2_{(D)}$: increase in R^2 after adding D to the model.

Table 9
Latent regression results predicting the outcomes by D and low Honesty-Humility (Study 4).

Outcome variable	β_D [95% CI]	β_{HH} [95% CI]	$R^2_{(D, HH)}$	$\Delta R^2_{(HH)}$	$\Delta R^2_{(D)}$
Empathic concern	-0.84 [-0.95; -0.72]	0.12 [-0.01; 0.25]	.59	.01	.42
Perspective taking	-0.66 [-0.77; -0.54]	0.08 [-0.05; 0.22]	.37	.01	.26
Callousness	0.85 [-0.76; -0.94]	-0.03 [0.14; 0.08]	.69	<.01	.40

Note: N = 485. Standardized latent linear regression coefficients. $R^2_{(D, HH)}$: variance explained in the full model. $\Delta R^2_{(HH)}$: increase in R^2 after adding low Honesty-Humility to the model. $\Delta R^2_{(D)}$: increase in R^2 after adding D to the model.

Table 10
Unique contributions of D and low Honesty-Humility, respectively, relative to the total explained variances in each criterion (Studies 2–4).

Study	Criterion	Honesty-Humility	D
2	Sadistic SVO	58%	10%
2	Pretentiousness	50%	18%
3	Distrust	15%	72%
3	Trust Scale	1%	56%
3	Threatening World	19%	70%
4	Empathic Concern	2%	72%
4	Perspective Taking	3%	71%
4	Callousness	-	58%
	Median	15%	57%

Note: The table displays the relation of ΔR^2 (of each predictor) to total R^2 combined.